

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-24, 26-28, and 30-43 are currently pending in the subject application and are presently under consideration. Applicants' representative notes with appreciation the Examiner's indication that claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to recite limitations of respective base claims and any intervening claims. To this end, independent claims 1, 14, 20, 22, 28, 30 and 34 have been amended to incorporate the subject matter of dependent claim 3 as shown on pages 2-9 of the Reply. Additionally, claim 3 has been cancelled. Favorable reconsideration of the subject patent application is respectfully requested in view of the comments and amendments herein.

I. Rejection of Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-9, 11, 13-16, 18, 22, 23, 26, 27 and 43 Under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-9, 11, 13-16, 18, 22, 23, 26, 27 and 43 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Callaway, *et al.* (U.S. 6,879,530). It is respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn in view of the incorporation of allowable subject matter into independent claims 1, 14, and 22.

II. Rejection of Claim 20 Under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

Claim 20 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being unpatentable over Ouellette (U.S. 6,993,692). It is respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn in view of the incorporation of allowable subject matter into independent claim 20.

III. Rejection of Claims 34, 35 and 42 Under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

Claims 34, 35 and 42 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being unpatentable over Olarig (U.S. 6,505,305). It is respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn in view of the incorporation of allowable subject matter into independent claim 34.

IV. Rejection of Claim 11 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claim 11 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Callaway, *et al.* (U.S. 6,879,530) in view of Lin (U.S. 6,141,768). In view of the incorporation of

allowable subject matter into independent claim 1 from which claim 11 depends, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

V. Rejection of Claims 10, 24 and 28 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 10, 24 and 28 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Callaway, *et al.* (U.S. 6,879,530) in view of Microsoft Computer Dictionary (fifth edition). In view of the incorporation of allowable subject matter into independent claims 1 and 22 from which claims 10 and 24 depend respectively, and into independent claim 28, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

VI. Rejection of Claims 12, 17 and 19 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 12, 17 and 19 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Callaway, *et al.* (U.S. 6,879,530) in view of Wyatt (U.S. 6,968,479). In view of the incorporation of allowable subject matter into independent claims 1 and 14, from which claims 12, 17 and 19 depend, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

VII. Rejection of Claim 21 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claim 21 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Callaway, *et al.* (U.S. 6,879,530) in view of Microsoft Computer Dictionary (fifth edition). In view of the incorporation of allowable subject matter into independent claim 20 from which claim 21 depends, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

VIII. Rejection of Claims 30-33, 36, 37, 39 and 41 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 30-33, 36, 37, 39 and 41 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Olarig (U.S. 6,505,305) in view of Hayes (U.S. 5,781,721). In view of the incorporation of allowable subject matter into independent claims 30 and 34 from which claims 30-33 and 36, 37, 39, 41 depend respectively, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

IX. Rejection of Claims 38 and 40 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 38 and 40 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Olarig (U.S. 6,505,305) in view of Hayes (U.S. 5,781,721), as applied above, and in further view of Microsoft Computer Dictionary (fifth edition). In view of the incorporation of allowable subject matter into independent claim 34 from which claims 38 and 40 depend, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

The present application is believed to be in condition for allowance in view of the above comments and amendments. A prompt action to such end is earnestly solicited.

In the event any fees are due in connection with this document, the Commissioner is authorized to charge those fees to Deposit Account No. 50-1063 [ALBRP324US].

Should the Examiner believe a telephone interview would be helpful to expedite favorable prosecution, the Examiner is invited to contact applicants' undersigned representative at the telephone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

AMIN, TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP

/Himanshu S. Amin/

Himanshu S. Amin

Reg. No. 40,894

AMIN, TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP
24TH Floor, National City Center
1900 E. 9TH Street
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
Telephone (216) 696-8730
Facsimile (216) 696-8731